

J. SALLY'S QUESTION AND A CONJECTURE OF Y. SHIMODA

SHIRO GOTO, LIAM O'CARROLL AND FRANCESC PLANAS-VILANOVA

ABSTRACT. In 2007, Y. Shimoda, in connection with a long-standing question of J. Sally, asked whether a Noetherian local ring, such that all its prime ideals different from the maximal ideal are complete intersections, has Krull dimension at most two. In this paper, having reduced the conjecture to the case of dimension three, if the ring is regular and local of dimension three, we explicitly describe a family of prime ideals of height two minimally generated by three elements. Weakening the hypothesis of regularity, we find that, to achieve the same end, we need to add extra hypotheses, such as completeness, infiniteness of the residue field and the multiplicity of the ring being at most three. In the second part of the paper we turn our attention to the category of standard graded algebras. A geometrical approach via a double use of a Bertini Theorem, together with a result of A. Simis, B. Ulrich and W.V. Vasconcelos, allows us to obtain a definitive answer in this setting. Finally, by adapting work of M. Miller on prime Bourbaki ideals in local rings, we detail some more technical results concerning the existence in standard graded algebras of homogeneous prime ideals with an “excessive” number of generators.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is by now a classic result that in a Noetherian local ring, the existence of a uniform bound on the minimal number of generators of all its ideals is equivalent to its Krull dimension being at most 1. In 1978, J. Sally (see [Sal, p. 52]) extended this result in the following way. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a Noetherian local ring. Then there exists an integer $N \geq 1$ such that the minimal number of generators $\mu(I)$ of an ideal I is bounded above by N , for any ideal I of R such that $\mathfrak{m}$ is not an associated prime of I , if and only if $\dim(R)$, the Krull dimension of R , is at most 2. In particular, if $\dim(R) \leq 2$, then there exists a bound on the minimal number of generators of all its prime ideals. She then remarked that it is an open question whether the converse is true (cf. [op cit., p. 53]). In other words, if $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is a Noetherian local ring such that there exists an integer $N \geq 1$ such that $\mu(\mathfrak{p}) \leq N$, for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of R , is then $\dim(R) \leq 2$?

This question has remained open and not much progress has been made since that time. In 2007, Y. Shimoda ([Shi]) asked whether a Noetherian local ring, such that all its prime ideals different from the maximal ideal are complete intersections, has Krull dimension at most 2. Observe that, if all the primes other than the maximal ideal are complete intersections, then in particular the cardinalities of their sets of minimal generators are bounded above by the Krull dimension of the ring.

Though the question of Y. Shimoda seems easier than that of J. Sally because its hypothesis is at first sight much stronger, it has proved to be difficult to answer.

For the sake of simplicity we will call a Noetherian local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ a Shimoda ring if every prime ideal in the punctured spectrum is of the principal class, i.e., the minimal number of generators $\mu(\mathfrak{p})$ of every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R , $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$, is equal to $\text{height}(\mathfrak{p})$.

We first observe that one can reduce the conjecture to the consideration of a UFD local domain R of Krull dimension at most 3. A positive answer to Shimoda's conjecture then amounts to showing that either $\dim(R) = 2$, or else $\dim(R) = 3$ and then exhibiting a prime ideal of height 2 minimally generated by 3 (or more) elements. This question is attractive because of the combination of its simplicity and its seeming difficulty. Indeed, we are not able, up to now, to produce in full generality a non-complete intersection prime ideal of height 2 in a UFD local domain of Krull dimension 3.

However, in Section 2, we are able to give some partial positive answers. Firstly, if the ring R is regular and local of Krull dimension 3, then we explicitly describe a family of prime ideals of height 2 minimally generated by 3 elements. These ideals are determinantal ideals of 2×3 -matrices and, in the geometric case, they are precisely the defining ideals of irreducible affine space monomial curves.

Next, when trying to weaken the hypothesis of regularity, we find that we need to add extra hypotheses, such as completeness, infiniteness of the residue field and the multiplicity of the ring being at most 3. In this case, if $\dim(R) = 3$, we exhibit an ideal of height 2 minimally generated by 3 elements which has a minimal prime over it which is not Gorenstein. This will enable us to conclude that a Shimoda ring, with these extra hypotheses, has Krull dimension at most 2.

In the second part of the paper we turn our attention to the category of standard graded algebras (with a graded definition of the notion of a Shimoda ring). In Section 3 we find that a geometrical approach via a double use of a Bertini Theorem, together with a result of A. Simis, B. Ulrich and W.V. Vasconcelos (see [SUV]), allows us to obtain a definitive result. In the final section, Section 4, we sketch an adaptation of M. Miller's arguments in [Mil] to the case of standard graded rings of interest, that allows us, under somewhat more technical hypotheses, to produce homogeneous prime ideals requiring an arbitrarily large number of generators, and also allows us to present a mild generalization of the core result of Section 3.

2. THE SHIMODA CONJECTURE FOR RINGS OF SMALL MULTIPLICITY

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a Noetherian local ring, of Krull dimension $d \geq 1$, and $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$, the residue class field of R . For the remainder of this section we fix the following notation: the ring R will be called a Shimoda ring if every prime ideal in the punctured spectrum is of the principal class, i.e., the minimal number of generators $\mu(\mathfrak{p})$ of every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R , $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$, is equal to $\text{height}(\mathfrak{p})$.

Remark 2.1. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a Noetherian local ring of Krull dimension $d \geq 1$. If $d = 1$, R is Shimoda if and only if R is a domain. In particular, a Shimoda ring need not be Gorenstein since $R = k[[t^3, t^4, t^5]]$ is a one-dimensional local domain that is not Gorenstein ([Eis, Ex. 21.11] or [Mat2, Ex. 18.8, p. 152]). Moreover, the completion of a Shimoda ring is not necessarily a Shimoda ring, because $A = (k[x, y]/(y^2 - x^2 - x^3))_{(x, y)}$ is a one-dimensional Noetherian local domain whose completion is not a domain ([Eis, p. 185 and ff.]).

Remark 2.2. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a Noetherian local ring of Krull dimension $d \geq 2$. If R is Shimoda, then R is a UFD, and the converse holds if $d = 2$. Suppose now that $d \geq 2$ and R is Shimoda. Then R is also Cohen-Macaulay. Indeed, take $\mathfrak{p}$ a prime ideal with $\text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) = d - 1$. Since $\mu(\mathfrak{p}) = \text{height}(\mathfrak{p})$, then $\mathfrak{p}$ is generated by a regular sequence $x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}$, say (see [Dav, Remark, p. 203]). Set $y \in \mathfrak{m}$, $y \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime, $x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}, y$ is a regular sequence of length d .

The purpose of this section is to prove the following result, where $e(R)$ stands for the multiplicity of R with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a Shimoda ring of Krull dimension $d \geq 2$. Suppose that, in addition, either*

- (a) *R is regular, or*
- (b) *R is complete, $R \supset k$, k is infinite and $e(R) \leq 3$.*

Then $d = 2$.

Note in passing that when we weaken the hypothesis from “regular” ($e(R) = 1$) to “ $e(R) \leq 3$ ”, we need to add the extra hypotheses that R is complete and contains its infinite residue field. Note that in either case, R is a local Cohen-Macaulay domain and we use properties of such domains without further mention. We first show how to reduce the dimension of R .

Remark 2.4. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a Shimoda ring of Krull dimension $d \geq 2$. Let $a \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$. Then R/aR is a Shimoda ring of Krull dimension $d - 1$. Moreover,

- (a) If R is regular, then R/aR is regular.
- (b) If R is complete, then R/aR is complete. If k is infinite, then a can be chosen to be a superficial element and $e(R/aR) = e(R)$.

In particular, in Theorem 2.3, one can suppose that $2 \leq d \leq 3$.

Proof of the Remark 2.4. Take $a \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$. Given $\mathfrak{p}$, a prime ideal, $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$, such that $a \in \mathfrak{p}$, then $a \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{p}$. Therefore $\mu(\mathfrak{p}/aR) = \mu(\mathfrak{p}) - 1 = \text{height}(\mathfrak{p}) - 1 = \text{height}(\mathfrak{p}/aR)$ and R/aR is Shimoda of Krull dimension $d - 1$. Clearly, if R is regular, R/aR is regular, and if R is complete, R/aR is complete. Moreover, if k is infinite, there exists a superficial element $a \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$ and $e(R/aR) = e(R)$ (see, e.g., [SH, Propositions 8.5.7 and 11.1.9]). Finally, suppose Theorem 2.3 true for $2 \leq d \leq 3$ and suppose there exists a Shimoda ring R of Krull dimension $d \geq 4$. By successively factoring out appropriate elements, one would get a

Shimoda ring of Krull dimension $\bar{d} = 3$. But, by Theorem 2.3, in the case $2 \leq d \leq 3$, one would deduce $\bar{d} = 2$, a contradiction. Therefore, there can not exist Shimoda rings of Krull dimension $d \geq 4$ and one has only to prove Theorem 2.3 for the case $2 \leq d \leq 3$. $\square$

Let us fix now some notations that will hold for the rest of the section (see [OP]).

Remark 2.5. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and let x_1, x_2, x_3 be a regular sequence in R . Take $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ and $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$. Let $c = a + b$, $c = (c_1, c_2, c_3)$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the matrix

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{a_1} & x_2^{a_2} & x_3^{a_3} \\ x_2^{b_2} & x_3^{b_3} & x_1^{b_1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and $v_1 = x_1^{c_1} - x_2^{b_2} x_3^{a_3}$, $v_2 = x_2^{c_2} - x_1^{a_1} x_3^{b_3}$ and $D = x_3^{c_3} - x_1^{b_1} x_2^{a_2}$, the 2×2 minors of $\mathcal{M}$ up to a change of sign. Consider $I = I_2(\mathcal{M}) = (v_1, v_2, D)$, the determinantal ideal generated by the 2×2 minors of $\mathcal{M}$. Then I is a non-Gorenstein height-unmixed ideal of height two, minimally generated by three elements. For simplicity, I will be called the HN (from Herzog Northcott) ideal associated to x_1, x_2, x_3 and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^3$. We will also set $m_1 = c_2 c_3 - a_2 b_3$, $m_2 = c_1 c_3 - a_3 b_1$, $m_3 = c_1 c_2 - a_1 b_2$ and $m(a, b) = (m_1, m_2, m_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$. Note that $m_i \geq 3$.

Proof of Remark 2.5. That I is height-unmixed of height 2 follows from [OP, 4.2 and 2.2]. That I is minimally generated by 3 elements and is non-Gorenstein follows from [OP, 6.1] and its proof, where a non-symmetric minimal resolution of R/I is shown. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 2.3 is divided into two parts. We first state the regular case.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a regular local ring of Krull dimension 3. Let x_1, x_2, x_3 be a regular system of parameters in R and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^3$. Let I be the HN ideal associated to x_1, x_2, x_3 and a, b . If $\gcd(m(a, b)) = 1$, then I is prime. In particular, R is not Shimoda.*

Taking $a = (1, 1, 1)$ and $b = (2, 1, 1)$ in Proposition 2.6, one obtains the following result.

Corollary 2.7. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a regular local ring of Krull dimension 3. Let x_1, x_2, x_3 be a regular system of parameters in R . Then $I = (x_1^3 - x_2 x_3, x_2^2 - x_1 x_3, x_3^2 - x_1^2 x_2)$ is a height two prime ideal minimally generated by three elements.*

For the case of small multiplicity, we have the following. As above, we consider the HN ideal associated to $a = (1, 1, 1)$ and $b = (2, 1, 1)$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a complete Gorenstein local domain of Krull dimension 3. Suppose that, in addition, $R \supset k$, k is infinite and $e(R) \leq 3$. Let $(x_1, x_2, x_3)R$ be a minimal reduction of $\mathfrak{m}$. Then there is a minimal prime over $I = (x_1^3 - x_2 x_3, x_2^2 - x_1 x_3, x_3^2 - x_1^2 x_2)$ which is not Gorenstein. In particular, R is not Shimoda.*

Let us give now a proof of Theorem 2.3 using Propositions 2.6 and 2.8.

Proof of Theorem 2.3 using Propositions 2.6, 2.8. Let R be a Shimoda ring of Krull dimension $d \geq 2$ as in Theorem 2.3. By Remark 2.4, one can suppose that $2 \leq d \leq 3$. If R is regular, by Proposition 2.6, $d \neq 3$. Suppose now that R is complete, $R \supset k$, k infinite and $e(R) \leq 3$. By Remark 2.2, R is Cohen-Macaulay, and since R is complete, it admits a canonical module (see, e.g., [BH, 3.3.8]). By Remark 2.2 again, R is a UFD. Hence R is Gorenstein (see, e.g., [BH, 3.3.19]). Therefore, by Proposition 2.8, $d \neq 3$. $\square$

Before proving Proposition 2.6, we note the following reasonably elementary fact.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $(S, \mathfrak{n})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of Krull dimension 2. Let x, y be a system of parameters of S . Let $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$, with $1 \leq r < p$ and $1 \leq s < q$. Then*

$$\text{length}_S(S/(x^p, y^q, x^r y^s)) = [pq - (p - r)(q - s)] \cdot \text{length}_S(S/(x, y)).$$

Proof. Let $I = (x, y)$. Since I is generated by a regular sequence, there is a natural graded isomorphism $(S/I)[X, Y] \cong \mathbf{G}(I)$, sending X to $x + I^2$ and Y to $y + I^2$, between the polynomial ring in two indeterminates X, Y over S/I and the associated graded ring $G = \mathbf{G}(I) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n / I^{n+1}$ of I . If $z \in S$, let z^* denote its initial form in G , i.e., $z^* = z + I^m$, where $z \in I^{m-1} \setminus I^m$, and $0^* = 0$. In particular, $(x^*)^n = (x^n)^*$ and $(y^*)^m = (y^m)^*$, for all $n, m \geq 1$. If J is an ideal of S , let J^* denote the homogeneous ideal of G generated by all the initial forms of elements of J . One has $J_n^* = (J \cap I^n) + I^{n+1} / I^{n+1}$ for $n \geq 0$ (see, e.g., [VV]).

Now take $J = (x^p, y^q, x^r y^s) \subset I$. Observe that, for $n \geq p + q$, $I^n \subseteq J$ and $(J^*)_n = G_n$. For each $n \geq 0$, consider the short exact sequences of S -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow (J + I^{n+1})/J \rightarrow (J + I^n)/J \rightarrow (J + I^n)/(J + I^{n+1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $\text{length}_S(S/J) = \sum_{n=0}^{p+q-1} \text{length}_S((J + I^n)/(J + I^{n+1}))$. But

$$\frac{J + I^n}{J + I^{n+1}} \cong \frac{I^n}{I^n \cap (J + I^{n+1})} \cong \frac{I^n}{(J \cap I^n) + I^{n+1}} \cong \frac{G_n}{J_n^*}.$$

Thus

$$\text{length}_S(S/J) = \sum_{n=0}^{p+q-1} \text{length}_S(G_n/J_n^*) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \text{length}_S(G_n/J_n^*) = \text{length}_S(G/J^*).$$

Let $L \subseteq J^*$ be the ideal generated by the initial forms of x^p , y^q and $x^r y^s$ in G . Since the regular sequence x, y is permutable, by [KS, Remark 4(4)], $L = J^*$. Hence $\text{length}_S(G/J^*) = \text{length}_S(G/L) < \infty$. Through the isomorphism $(S/I)[X, Y] \cong \mathbf{G}(I)$, one sees that G/L is isomorphic to the free S/I -module with basis $X^i Y^j$, where $(i, j) \in \{0, \dots, p-1\} \times \{0, \dots, s-1\}$ or $(i, j) \in \{0, \dots, r-1\} \times \{s, \dots, q-1\}$. Therefore $\text{length}_S(G/L) = [pq - (p - r)(q - s)] \cdot \text{length}_S(S/I)$. $\square$

Remark 2.10. The result of K. Kiyek and J. Stückrad (cf. [KS, Remark 4(4)]) that we have used in the proof of Lemma 2.9, which deals only with the case where the regular sequence at hand is permutable, says precisely that $x^p, y^q, x^r y^s$ is an I -standard base of

$J = (x^p, y^q, x^r y^s)$ (see, e.g., [HIO, § 13]). In this respect, one can prove the following fact, which uses a generalization of a classic theorem of Rees (cf. [BH, Theorem 1.1.7]).

Theorem 2.11. *Let R be a commutative ring and let $\underline{z} = z_1, \dots, z_n$ be an R -sequence. Set $I = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Let $\underline{Z} = Z_1, \dots, Z_n$ be indeterminates over R .*

- (a) *If $F(\underline{Z}) \in R[\underline{Z}]$ is homogeneous of degree d and $F(\underline{z}) \in I^{d+i}$, then $F(\underline{Z}) \in I^i[\underline{Z}]$.*
- (b) *If $m_1, \dots, m_s$ is a finite set of monomials in $\underline{z}$, then $m_1, \dots, m_s$ is an I -standard base of $J = (m_1, \dots, m_s)$.*

Proof. If $i = 0$, the result is trivial. Rees' Theorem is the case $i = 1$. Suppose $i > 1$ and that we have established the result in the case where i is replaced by $i - 1$. Suppose then that $F(\underline{Z}) \in R[\underline{Z}]$ is homogeneous of degree d and $F(\underline{z}) \in I^{d+i}$. Then $F(\underline{z}) \in I^{d+i-1}$, so by the inductive hypothesis the coefficients of F lie in I^{i-1} . Thus, with $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$,

$$F(\underline{Z}) = \sum_{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = d} a_\lambda Z_1^{\lambda_1} \dots Z_n^{\lambda_n},$$

for $a_\lambda \in I^{i-1}$. Hence, for each such λ ,

$$a_\lambda = \sum_{\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n = i-1} b_{\lambda, \mu} z_1^{\mu_1} \dots z_n^{\mu_n},$$

for elements $b_{\lambda, \mu}$ in R , with $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ and $\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n = i - 1$. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \lambda + \mu$, so that $\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n = d + i - 1$, and let

$$H(\underline{Z}) = \sum_{(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = d)} \sum_{(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n = i-1)} b_{\lambda, \mu} Z_1^{\xi_1} \dots Z_n^{\xi_n} \in R[\underline{Z}].$$

Then H is homogeneous of degree $d + i - 1$ and $H(\underline{z}) = F(\underline{z}) \in I^{d+i}$. By Rees' Theorem, the coefficients $b_{\lambda, \mu}$ of H lie in I , and it follows that each a_λ lies in I^i , which proves (a).

Let $m_1, \dots, m_s$ be monomials in $\underline{z}$, where $m_i = z_1^{\alpha_{1,i}} \dots z_n^{\alpha_{n,i}}$, for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Let $\alpha_i = \alpha_{1,i} + \dots + \alpha_{n,i}$ be the “degree” of m_i , which is well-defined due to the standard isomorphism $(R/IR)[Z_1, \dots, Z_n] \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(I) = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} I^d / I^{d+1}$, sending Z_i to $z_i + I^2$. Let $\delta_1 < \dots < \delta_t$ be the degrees occurring in $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}$, $t \geq 1$. Let J_i denote the ideal of R generated by those monomials among $m_1, \dots, m_s$ that have degree δ_i . We must show that, for $d \geq 0$,

$$J \cap I^d = m_1 I^{d-\alpha_1} + \dots + m_s I^{d-\alpha_s},$$

or equivalently,

$$(1) \quad J \cap I^d = J_1 I^{d-\delta_1} + \dots + J_t I^{d-\delta_t},$$

understanding that $I^r = R$ whenever $r \leq 0$ and noting that I and J are not comaximal (see [VV, p. 94, lines 23-24]). We prove (1) by induction on $t \geq 1$.

Item (a) easily yields the case where $t = 1$, i.e., where all the monomials have the same degree. So we suppose that $t \geq 2$ and that (1) holds when t is replaced by $t - 1$. Suppose first of all that $d \leq \delta_t$. Then, by induction,

$$J \cap I^d = (J_1 + \dots + J_t) \cap I^d = ((J_1 + \dots + J_{t-1}) \cap I^d) + J_t = J_1 I^{d-\delta_1} + \dots + J_{t-1} I^{d-\delta_{t-1}} + J_t I^{d-\delta_t},$$

since $I^{d-\delta_t} = R$ in this case. Finally, suppose on the other hand that $d > \delta_t$. Then

$$J \cap I^d = J \cap I^{\delta_t} \cap I^d = (J_1 + \dots + J_t) \cap I^{\delta_t} \cap I^d = (J_1 I^{\delta_t - \delta_1} + \dots + J_t) \cap I^d,$$

by the case just considered. But the ideal $J_1 I^{\delta_t - \delta_1} + \dots + J_t$ is generated by monomials in $\underline{z}$ of degree δ_t , so by the case $t = 1$,

$$(J_1 I^{\delta_t - \delta_1} + \dots + J_t) \cap I^d = (J_1 I^{\delta_t - \delta_1} + \dots + J_t) I^{d - \delta_t} = J_1 I^{d - \delta_1} + \dots + J_t I^{d - \delta_t},$$

as required. $\square$

To prove Proposition 2.6, we are going to use formulae from the theory of multiplicities (see, e.g., [BH, Chapter 4, Section 6] and [SH, Chapter 11, Sections 1 and 2]).

Proof of Proposition 2.6. Let $\widehat{R}$ be the completion of R . Since R is regular and local with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ generated by the regular system of parameters x_1, x_2, x_3 , then $\widehat{R}$ is a regular local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)\widehat{R}$, generated by the regular system of parameters x_1, x_2, x_3 (considered in $\widehat{R}$). Let $I = (v_1, v_2, D)$ be the HN ideal associated to x_1, x_2, x_3 and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^3$ in R . Then $I\widehat{R} = (v_1, v_2, D)\widehat{R}$ is the HN ideal associated to x_1, x_2, x_3 and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^3$, regarded in $\widehat{R}$. Since $I = I\widehat{R} \cap R$, if we prove that $I\widehat{R}$ is prime, then I will be prime too. Therefore we can suppose that R is complete.

Until further notice we assume only that R is a complete Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension 3 and that x_1, x_2, x_3 generates a reduction of $\mathfrak{m}$. We do this so that we can avoid repeating core aspects of the argument when we come to prove Proposition 2.8.

Take $\mathfrak{p}$ any associated prime of I , hence of height 2. Let $D = R/\mathfrak{p}$. Then D is a one-dimensional Noetherian domain. Let V be its integral closure in its quotient field K . Since R is a complete local ring, R is a Nagata ring (see, e.g., [Mat1, p. 234]) and hence V is a finite D -module and, in particular, V is Noetherian as a ring. Since D is a Noetherian complete local domain, its integral closure V is a local ring (see, e.g., [Die, Corollary 6.1, p. 116]). Therefore, V is a one-dimensional Noetherian local integrally closed ring, hence a DVR.

Let ν denote the corresponding valuation on K . By abuse of notation, let x_i denote the image in V of each x_i and set $\nu_i = \nu(x_i)$. In V , $x_1^{c_1} = x_2^{b_2} x_3^{a_3}$, $x_2^{c_2} = x_1^{a_1} x_3^{b_3}$ and $x_3^{c_3} = x_1^{b_1} x_2^{a_2}$. Applying ν to these equalities, one gets the following system of equations:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} c_1 \nu_1 &= b_2 \nu_2 + a_3 \nu_3 \\ c_2 \nu_2 &= a_1 \nu_1 + b_3 \nu_3 \\ c_3 \nu_3 &= b_1 \nu_1 + a_2 \nu_2 \end{aligned} \right\},$$

the third equation (say) being the sum of the first two. So we reduce to a system of two linearly independent equations, considered over $\mathbb{Q}$, whose solution is $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3) = q \cdot m(a, b)$, for some $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, $q = u/v$, $u, v \in \mathbb{N}$ (see [OP, 4.4]).

Set $l = \gcd(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3) \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $v(\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3) = u(m_1, m_2, m_3)$ and $\gcd(m_1, m_2, m_3) = 1$, then $vl = u$ and $l = q \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\nu_i = lm_i$.

Clearly $x_1R + I = (x_1, x_2^{c_2}, x_3^{c_3}, x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3})$ is an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of R . Set $S = R/x_1R$, and (by abuse of notation) consider x_2, x_3 as a regular sequence in S and a system of parameters of S . Since $R/(x_1R + I) \cong S/(x_2^{c_2}, x_3^{c_3}, x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3})S$ (and $x_1R \subset \text{Ann}_R(S)$), then $\text{length}_R(R/(x_1R + I)) = \text{length}_S(S/(x_2^{c_2}, x_3^{c_3}, x_2^{b_2}x_3^{a_3})S)$, which by Lemma 2.9, is equal to $m_1 \cdot \text{length}_S(S/(x_2, x_3)S)$. But $S/(x_2, x_3)S \cong R/(x_1, x_2, x_3)$, so

$$\text{length}_S(S/(x_2, x_3)S) = \text{length}_R(R/(x_1, x_2, x_3)) = e_R(x_1, x_2, x_3),$$

because R is Cohen-Macaulay of Krull dimension $d = 3$ and (x_1, x_2, x_3) is an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal ([SH, 11.1.10]). Moreover, as (x_1, x_2, x_3) is a reduction of $\mathfrak{m}$, then $e_R(x_1, x_2, x_3) = e(R)$ ([SH, 1.2.5 and 11.2.1]).

Since $x_1R + I \subseteq x_1R + \mathfrak{p}$, then $\text{length}_R(R/(x_1R + I)) \geq \text{length}_R(R/(x_1R + \mathfrak{p}))$. But

$$\text{length}_R(R/(x_1R + \mathfrak{p})) = \text{length}_{R/\mathfrak{p}}((R/\mathfrak{p})/(x_1 \cdot R/\mathfrak{p})) = \text{length}_D(D/x_1D).$$

Observe that $x_2^{c_2}, x_3^{c_3} \in x_1D$ and so x_1D is an $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{p}$ -primary ideal of the one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local domain $(D, \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{p}, k)$. By [SH, 11.1.10], $\text{length}_D(D/x_1D) = e_D(x_1; D)$.

On the other hand, V is a finite Cohen-Macaulay D -module of $\text{rank}_D(V) = 1$, and so $e_D(x_1; D) = e_D(x_1; D) \cdot \text{rank}_D(V) = \text{length}_D(V/x_1V)$ ([BH, 4.6.11]).

Set $r = [k_V : k_D]$, the degree of the extension of the residue fields of V and of D . Then $\text{length}_D(V/x_1V) = r \cdot \text{length}_V(V/x_1V)$. Finally, since V is a DVR, $\text{length}_V(V/x_1V) = \nu(x_1) = \nu_1 = lm_1$.

Therefore, putting together all the (in)equalities, we have:

$$(2) \quad m_1 \cdot e(R) = \text{length}_R(R/(x_1R + I)) \geq \text{length}_R(R/(x_1R + \mathfrak{p})) = rlm_1.$$

Observe that in all this reasoning, we have only used that R is a complete Cohen-Macaulay local ring of dimension 3 and that x_1, x_2, x_3 generates a reduction of $\mathfrak{m}$.

Now, using that R is regular, we have $e(R) = 1$ and we deduce from (2) that

$$\text{length}_R(R/(x_1R + I)) = \text{length}_R(R/(x_1R + \mathfrak{p})).$$

On tensoring the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}/I \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow 0$ by R/x_1R , one obtains the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L/x_1L \rightarrow R/(x_1R + I) \rightarrow R/(x_1R + \mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $L = \mathfrak{p}/I$, because x_1 is not in $\mathfrak{p}$ so $x_1R \cap \mathfrak{p} = x_1\mathfrak{p}$. Then, from the equality $\text{length}_R(R/(x_1R + I)) = \text{length}_R(R/(x_1R + \mathfrak{p}))$, one deduces that $\text{length}_R(L/x_1L) = 0$ and hence $L = x_1L$, which by the Lemma of Nakayama implies that $L = 0$ and $I = \mathfrak{p}$. $\square$

Now we turn to the proof of Proposition 2.8.

Proof of Proposition 2.8. First observe that since k is infinite, there exist x_1, x_2, x_3 in $\mathfrak{m}$ such that (x_1, x_2, x_3) is a minimal reduction of $\mathfrak{m}$. In particular, x_1, x_2, x_3 is a system of parameters in R . Since $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is a complete Noetherian local domain and $R \supset k$, there exists a k -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : k[[X_1, X_2, X_3]] \rightarrow R$, from the power series

ring in the three indeterminates X_1, X_2, X_3 over k to R , with $\varphi(X_i) = x_i$, and such that if $S = \text{im}(\varphi) = k[[x_1, x_2, x_3]]$, then $S \cong k[[X_1, X_2, X_3]]$ via φ , S is a complete regular local ring, and R is a finite extension of S (see, e.g., [Mat2, 29.4] and its proof). Let $\psi : k[[X_1, X_2, X_3]] \rightarrow k[[t]]$ be defined as $\psi(X_1) = t^3$, $\psi(X_2) = t^4$ and $\psi(X_3) = t^5$, where t is an indeterminate over k . Then $\ker(\psi) = (X_1^3 - X_2X_3, X_2^2 - X_1X_3, X_3^2 - X_1^2X_2)$ (see e.g., [OP, 7.8], where a proof for the polynomial case is given). Set $J = \varphi(\ker(\psi))$, which is a prime ideal of S and set $I = JR = (x_1^3 - x_2x_3, x_2^2 - x_1x_3, x_3^2 - x_1^2x_2)$, which is the HN ideal of R associated to x_1, x_2, x_3 and $a = (1, 1, 1)$ and $b = (2, 1, 1)$.

Take now any minimal prime $\mathfrak{p}$ over I and suppose that $\mathfrak{p}$ is Gorenstein. We will reach a contradiction.

Since $JR = I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and $S \subset R$ is an integral extension, then $J \subseteq I \cap S \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \cap S$ and $S/(\mathfrak{p} \cap S) \subset R/\mathfrak{p}$ is an integral extension. Hence $\mathfrak{p} \cap S$ is a prime ideal of S of height 2 and $J = \mathfrak{p} \cap S$. Set $A = S/J \cong k[[t^3, t^4, t^5]] \subset k[[t]]$ and $D = R/\mathfrak{p}$. By the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula, R is a free S -module of $\text{rank}_S(R) = e(S) \cdot \text{rank}_S(R) = e(R) =: e$ (see, e.g., [BH, 4.6.9]). By base change, it follows that R/I is a free A -module of rank e . Hence D is a torsion-free A -module of rank e' , where $1 \leq e' \leq e$.

We claim that $e' > 1$. Indeed, suppose that $e' = 1$. Then the quotient field of D can be identified with the quotient field of A . By [Eis, Ex. 21.11], the quotient field of A is $k((t))$ and the integral closure V of A is $k[[t]]$. Since A and D have the same quotient field with $A \subset D$ a finite and hence integral extension, V is also the integral closure of D . By [Eis, op. cit.], the conductor $A :_A V$ of V into A equals $(t^3, t^4, t^5)A = \mathfrak{m}_A$, the maximal ideal of A . Since $A :_A V$ is also an ideal in V and $t^3 \in A :_A V$, we therefore have

$$t^3V \subseteq A :_A V = \mathfrak{m}_A = (t^3, t^4, t^5)A \subseteq (t^3, t^4, t^5)V = t^3V.$$

Therefore $t^3V = A :_A V = \mathfrak{m}_A$. Now x_1D is a reduction of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_D$ of D , since $(x_1, x_2, x_3)R$ is a reduction of $\mathfrak{m}$. Hence the integral closure $\overline{x_1D}$ of the ideal x_1D equals $\mathfrak{m}_D$, so $x_1V = (\overline{x_1D})V = \mathfrak{m}_DV$ (see, e.g., [SH, 6.8.1]). Since $x_1V = t^3V$ and $A \subset D$ is integral, it follows that

$$\mathfrak{m}_D \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_DV = t^3V = \mathfrak{m}_A \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_D.$$

Hence $\mathfrak{m}_D = \mathfrak{m}_DV = t^3V$. In particular, $D \neq V$ and $\mathfrak{m}_D$ is also an ideal of V . Hence $\mathfrak{m}_D \subseteq D :_D V \subsetneq D$ so $\mathfrak{m}_D = D :_D V = t^3V$. Using the fact that D is Gorenstein and [SH, 12.2.2], one has

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &= 2 \cdot \text{length}_D(D/\mathfrak{m}_D) = 2 \cdot \text{length}_D(D/(D :_D V)) = \\ &\text{length}_D(V/(D :_D V)) = \text{length}_D(V/t^3V) = r \cdot \text{length}_V(V/t^3V) = 3r, \end{aligned}$$

where $r = [k_V : k]$, which is a contradiction. Thus $e' \geq 2$.

Now, R/I and A are local rings with the same residue field. Hence, since x_1A is an $\mathfrak{m}_A$ -primary ideal of the Noetherian local domain A and $\text{rank}_A(D) = e'$, by [SH, 11.2.6], and

regarding D as an R/I -module, we have the following:

$$e_{R/I}(x_1 \cdot R/I; D) = e_A(x_1 A; D) = e_A(x_1 A; A) \cdot \text{rank}_A(D) = 3e' \geq 6.$$

Analogously, since $\text{rank}_A(R/I) = e \leq 3$ by hypothesis, then

$$e_{R/I}(x_1 \cdot R/I; R/I) = e_A(x_1 A; R/I) = e_A(x_1 A; A) \cdot \text{rank}_A(R/I) = 3e \leq 9.$$

But, by the associativity formula (see, e.g., [SH, 11.2.4]), letting $\mathfrak{p}$ vary through the minimal primes in R over I , we have

$$9 \geq e_{R/I}(x_1; R/I) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} e_{R/I}(x_1; R/\mathfrak{p}) \cdot \text{length}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq 6 \cdot (\text{the number of such } \mathfrak{p}).$$

Hence the number of such $\mathfrak{p}$ equals 1 and for this unique $\mathfrak{p}$, $\text{length}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 1$. Therefore $I = \mathfrak{p}$, which is a contradiction, since I is not Gorenstein and $\mathfrak{p}$ is Gorenstein. $\square$

3. THE SHIMODA CONJECTURE IN THE SETTING OF A STANDARD GRADED ALGEBRA

In connection with the Shimoda property for affine rings, we note the following result.

Proposition 3.1. *Let S be an affine domain of Krull dimension d at least 3. Then S contains a prime ideal P that requires more than $\text{height}(P)$ generators.*

Proof. Since S is an excellent domain, the regular locus $\text{Reg}(S)$ is a non-empty Zariski-open subset of $\text{Spec}(S)$. Hence there exists a non-zero element $s \in S$ such that S_s is a regular ring. Since S is a Hilbert ring with (0) a prime ideal, there exists a maximal ideal $M \in S$ such that $s \notin M$, so S_M is a regular local ring. Now $\text{height}(M) = d \geq 3$ and so, by Theorem 2.3(a), and its proof, there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(S_M)$ having dimension 1 such that $\mu(\mathfrak{p}) = d$. Set $P = \mathfrak{p} \cap S$. Since $\mathfrak{p} = P_M$ it follows that $\text{height}(P) = d - 1$, yet P requires at least d generators. $\square$

Remark 3.2. Note however that if S is also a standard graded algebra, the preceding result does not provide any information as to whether the resulting prime ideal P is or is not homogeneous.

We now consider a different but analogous set-up to the local case considered in Section 2, influenced by the well-known similarities between the theories of local and standard graded rings. So let $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a standard graded algebra over the field k , i.e., A is graded by the non-negative integers $\mathbb{N}_0$ with k sitting in degree 0 and each of the x_i having degree 1. For simplicity we suppose that k is infinite (in fact, eventually we will suppose that k has characteristic 0 or is algebraically closed). Let $\mathcal{M} := (x_1, \dots, x_n)A$ denote the irrelevant ideal of A , and we take n to be the minimal number of homogeneous generators $\mu(\mathcal{M})$ of $\mathcal{M}$ (see [BH, 1.5.15(a)], noting that $\mu(\mathcal{M}) = \mu(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{M}})$). We fix this notation. We also suppose that A is a *Shimoda ring in the graded sense*, or a *gr-Shimoda ring* for short, meaning that each relevant homogeneous prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of A is generated by $\text{height}(\mathfrak{p})$ elements, i.e., is of the principal class: note that these generators can be chosen to be homogeneous (once again, see [BH, 1.5.15(a)]).

Finally, we suppose throughout that A has Krull dimension at least 2.

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose that the gr-Shimoda ring A has Krull dimension d (with $d \geq 2$). Then A is a Gorenstein domain that satisfies the Serre condition (R_{d-1}) .*

Proof. On taking $\mathfrak{p}$ to be a minimal prime (necessarily homogeneous) in the above condition, we see that $\mathfrak{p} = (0)$ so that A is a domain. Next let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a homogeneous prime that is maximal with respect to the property of being relevant. By Davis' result [Dav, Remark p. 203], $\mathfrak{p}$ is generated by a regular sequence of length $\text{height}(\mathfrak{p})$. It follows that $\mathcal{M}$ contains a regular sequence of length $\text{height}(\mathcal{M})$. Hence A is Cohen-Macaulay (see [BH, Ex. 2.1.27(c)]).

Next, since A is a homomorphic image of a polynomial ring (with the standard grading) by a homogeneous morphism of degree 0, A has a graded canonical module C (see [BH, 3.6.10 and 3.6.12(b)]). By [Eis, Ex. 21.18(b)], we may take C to be a homogeneous ideal of A . If $C = A$, then A is Gorenstein ([BH, 3.6.11]). Suppose on the other hand that C is a proper ideal in A . By [BH, 3.6.9 and 3.3.18], C is an unmixed ideal of height 1 whose (necessarily homogeneous) associated primes are therefore principal, by the gr-Shimoda property. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be any one of these associated primes, with $\mathfrak{p} = (p)$ say. Then each $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a DVR, so that each localization $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a principal ideal, $C_{\mathfrak{p}} = p^{t_p} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, say. It is easily seen that $C = (\prod_p p^{t_p})A$, since the latter ideal has the same associated primes as C and agrees with C locally at each of these primes. Hence C is principal. That A is Gorenstein now follows from [BH, 3.6.11].

Finally, to show that A satisfies (R_{d-1}) , we examine $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of height h , with $h \leq d - 1$. Clearly we need only consider the case where $\mathfrak{p}$ is non-homogeneous, so that $h > 0$. By the first paragraph of the proof of [BH, 1.5.8], or by [Fle, (5.1) Lemma, (b)], we see that for such $\mathfrak{p}$, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a regular local ring, and the result follows. $\square$

We next consider the effect of Noether normalization applied to A , noting that k is infinite. This result highlights another way that the present situation is to an extent analogous to that of Section 2.

We distinguish between the Krull dimension of A , denoted $\text{k-dim}(A)$, and the dimension of A as a projective scheme, denoted $\text{pr-dim}(A)$. Thus $\text{k-dim}(A) = \text{pr-dim}(A) + 1$ (see [Eis, pp. 286-287]).

Proposition 3.4. *Let $d = \text{k-dim}(A)$. Then there exists a regular sequence of homogeneous elements of degree 1 in A , which we relabel as $x_1, \dots, x_d$, such that $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with A a free finitely generated graded module over the subring $B := k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$, B being isomorphic to the polynomial ring over k in d variables (with the standard grading) under the natural homogeneous mapping of degree 0.*

Proof. See [BH, 1.5.17(c)], together with the discussion in [Sta, § 3, particularly that on p. 63]. Note also that n remains invariant under this re-writing of A , as $n = \mu(\mathcal{M}) = \mu(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{M}})$. $\square$

We now show how to reduce dimension for gr-Shimoda rings.

Proposition 3.5. *Let a be a homogeneous element of the gr-Shimoda ring A , a lying in $\mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{M}^2$. Then A/aA is again a gr-Shimoda ring.*

Proof. First of all we note that such an element a exists. By the graded version of Nakayama's Lemma, $\mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{M}^2$ since A is not a field. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a homogeneous ideal, it has a set of homogeneous generators not all of which can lie in $\mathcal{M}^2$, by the previous observation. Pick a to be a suitable member of this set of generators.

Clearly A/aA is a standard graded ring (with $\text{k-dim}(A/aA)$ at least 1) having irrelevant ideal $\mathcal{M}/aA$. Consider a relevant homogeneous prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of A/aA . Then $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P}/aA$ for a unique relevant homogeneous prime ideal $\mathfrak{P}$ of A that contains a . Since $a \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{M}^2$, a fortiori $a \in \mathfrak{P} \setminus \mathcal{M}\mathfrak{P}$. Hence a is a minimal homogeneous generator of $\mathfrak{P}$, so that

$$\mu(\mathfrak{p}) = \mu(\mathfrak{P}) - 1 = \text{height}(\mathfrak{P}) - 1 = \text{height}(\mathfrak{p}),$$

and the result follows. $\square$

We can now give our main result.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that in the gr-Shimoda ring A , the base field k has characteristic 0. Then $\text{pr-dim}(A) \leq 2$.*

Proof. We suppose that $\text{pr-dim}(A) \geq 3$ and deduce a contradiction by finding a homogeneous ideal of height $\text{pr-dim}(A) - 1$ that requires a generating set of cardinality $\text{pr-dim}(A)$. By Proposition 3.5 we may suppose that $\text{pr-dim}(A) = 3$.

We use without mention the fact that A is a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay affine domain, together with the well-known properties of such domains, such as their being catenary. Note that these are the only hypotheses (together with the fact that $\text{char}(k) = 0$) used in the remainder of the argument. That is, we prove the following.

“A standard graded Cohen-Macaulay affine domain over a field of characteristic zero and of Krull dimension 4 has a relevant homogeneous prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of height 2 with $\mu(\mathfrak{p}) = 3$.”

Step 1. Constructing the prototype of the prime ideal we seek. Since $\text{k-dim}(A) = 4$, using Proposition 3.4 we can choose a regular sequence x, y, z of homogeneous elements of degree 1 in A . Set $u = x^2 - yz$, $v = y^2 - xz$ and $w = z^2 - xy$, and let $I = (u, v, w)A$; note that u, v, w are homogeneous of degree 2. It is easily seen that u, v, w are all non-zero elements: for example, note that $\text{rad}((u, y)A) = \text{rad}((x, y)A)$; hence $(u, y)A$ has height 2, so $u \neq 0$.

By way of motivation, we note in passing that I is an almost complete intersection Northcott ideal ([Nor], [Vas]) and it is also a determinantal ideal. (The ideal analyzed in Section 2 also had these properties, and they will prove crucial in the analysis of the ideal K that we will focus on below.) We sketch the details as follows.

Setting

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} x & z \\ -z & -y \end{pmatrix},$$

12

and letting $^\top$ denote matrix transpose, we have that

$$(3) \quad \Phi \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \end{pmatrix}^\top = \begin{pmatrix} u & v \end{pmatrix}^\top$$

and that $w = \det(\Phi)$. Note that u, v is a regular sequence, since u is a non-zero element in the domain A and v cannot lie in any associated prime of u (which is necessarily at height 1), because $(u, v, z)A$ has height 3 as a consequence of the equality $\text{rad}((u, v, z)A) = \text{rad}((x, y, z)A)$. It follows from [OP, §2], since $(u, v)A$ has grade 2, that I is grade-unmixed of height 2. Note also that

$$I = I_2 \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z \\ y & z & x \end{pmatrix},$$

so, given the properties of I stated above, the graded version of the Hilbert-Burch Theorem provides us with the resolution

$$0 \rightarrow A^2(-2) \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} A^3(-1) \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} A \rightarrow A/I \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\varphi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} w & u & v \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \varphi_2^\top = \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z \\ y & z & x \end{pmatrix},$$

so this resolution is then minimal. Hence $\mu(I) = 3$. We also see, using the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula, that $I_{\mathcal{M}}$ and hence I is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal. (For further properties of such ideals, see [OP, §2 and 5].)

We now use and develop [SUV, 4.6] along similar lines, in the present setting.

Consider the polynomial extension $D := A[X, Y]$; note that D is a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay domain, on giving each of X and Y the weighting 1. Set

$$K = (u + xX, v + xY)D :_D x.$$

In (3), on premultiplying by $\text{adj}(\Phi)$, one obtains the relationship

$$xw = -yu - zv.$$

One easily checks that, as a result, $w - yX - zY \in K$. We wish to show that K is a prime ideal of D , that $K = L := (u + xX, v + xY, w - yX - zY)D$ and that $\mu(K) = 3$ (note that K is then a homogeneous ideal of D , being generated by quadrics). The ideal K is the prototype of the prime ideal in A that we seek so as to establish our contradiction.

We first show that $u + xX$ is a prime element in D . Note that, as remarked above, u is a regular element in the domain A , since $u \neq 0$. Next, x is regular modulo uA , otherwise $(u, x)A$ would have height 1 which would contradict the fact that $\text{rad}((u, x)A) (= \text{rad}((x, y)A) \cap \text{rad}((x, z)A))$ has height 2. That $u + xX$ is a prime element in $A[X]$ follows from [Kap, Ex. 3, p. 102]; hence $u + xX$ is also a prime element in D . Now $v + xY \notin (u + xX)D$, since $v \notin uA$, and we deduce that $u + xX, v + xY$ is a regular sequence in D . Note also that K is a proper ideal in D , since otherwise it would follow that $x \in (u, v)A$, which would contradict the fact that x is actually regular modulo the larger ideal $I \equiv (u, v, w)A$. Hence K

is unmixed of height 2, by basic properties of the colon operation vis-à-vis finite intersections and primary ideals. Since $w - yX - zY \in K$, it is easily seen that, up to radical, $K + xD$ contains the elements $x, y, z(z - Y)$. Since each of the ideals $(x, y, z)D$ and $(x, y, z - Y)D$ has height 3, it follows that $K + xD$ has height 3 and hence that x is regular modulo K , since K is unmixed of height 2. But it is clear that $(D/K)_x$ is isomorphic to $A_x[\frac{u}{x}, \frac{v}{x}]$, i.e., to the domain A_x . Hence D/K is a domain, so K is indeed a prime ideal.

Recall the ideal $L := (u + xX, v + xY, w - yX - zY)D$ introduced above. Let

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} x + X & -z \\ -z + Y & y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\Psi \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix}^\top = \begin{pmatrix} u + xX & v + xY \end{pmatrix}^\top$ and that $\det(\Psi) = -(w - yX - zY)$. We have seen above that $\text{grade}((u + xX, v + xY)D) = 2$ and that K (and so L) is a proper ideal. Hence by [Nor, Theorem 2], the projective dimension of D/L is 2 and L is grade and hence height unmixed, with all its associated primes having height 2. The argument above that established that $K + xD$ had height 3 actually showed that $L + xD$ had height 3. Hence x is regular modulo L .

Now

$$(u + xX, v + xY)D \subseteq L \subseteq K \equiv (u + xX, v + xY)D :_D x,$$

so that on localizing at each associated prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of L we have

$$(u + xX, v + xY)D_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq L_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{p}} \equiv (u + xX, v + xY)D_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Hence $L_{\mathfrak{p}} = K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all such $\mathfrak{p}$, so $L = K$. Thus

$$K = (u + xX, v + xY, w - yX - zY)D.$$

So

$$K = I_2 \begin{pmatrix} x & z & -y \\ y & x + X & -z + Y \end{pmatrix},$$

and again by the Hilbert-Burch Theorem, on setting

$$\psi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} w - yX - zY & v + xY & -(u + xX) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi_2^\top = \begin{pmatrix} x & z & -y \\ y & x + X & -z + Y \end{pmatrix},$$

we have the minimal free resolution

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow D^2(-2) \xrightarrow{\psi_2} D^3(-1) \xrightarrow{\psi_1} D \rightarrow D/K \rightarrow 0$$

of D/K . In particular, $\mu(K) = 3$, and as before, an application of the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula shows that K is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal.

So K has the features that we are seeking - a homogeneous prime ideal of height 2, minimally generated by 3 elements - except that the projective variety $\mathbb{V}_+(K)$ (consisting set-theoretically of all relevant homogeneous prime ideals containing K) lies not in $\text{Proj}(A)$

but in $\text{Proj}(A[X, Y])$. We now employ a double use of a Bertini Theorem to project back into $\text{Proj}(A)$.

Step 2. Retracting back into A via the double use of a Bertini Theorem. For a standard graded Cohen-Macaulay algebra S of positive Krull dimension, note that the scheme $\text{Proj}(S)$ is integral if and only if S is an integral domain. We use this fact below without further comment.

In our setting then, the scheme $\text{Proj}(D/K)$ is integral. Note that $\text{pr-dim}(D/K) = 3$. Now apply [Fle, (5.5) Satz], with the role of the f_i there being played by the images of $x_1, \dots, x_n, X, Y$ in D/K , each of which has grade 1. We abuse notation by continuing to write $x_1, \dots, x_n, X, Y$ for these respective images. Hence, in applying [Fle, (5.5) Satz], $D_+(f_0, \dots, f_n)$ there in fact equals all of $\text{Proj}(D/K)$. (Recall that $D_+(f_0, \dots, f_n)$ consists set-theoretically of all relevant homogeneous prime ideals that do not contain $\{f_0, \dots, f_n\}$.)

We now make the elementary observation that if R is a ring and r is an element of R , then, for an indeterminate Z over R , we have a natural induced isomorphism $R[Z]/(Z - r) \approx R$ arising from the natural retraction on $R[Z]$ that maps Z to r (and is the identity map on R). We apply this observation to a generic hyperplane section H , say, of $\text{Proj}(D/K)$ and then to a further generic hyperplane section H' , say, of $\text{Proj}(D/K) \cap H$, resulting finally in an integral subscheme of $\text{Proj}(A)$.

Hence, by [Fle, (5.5) Satz], for all $\alpha := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n+2})$ in a non-empty Zariski-open set in k^{n+2} , and following the standard notation in [Fle, Section 5], $\mathbb{V}_+(f_\alpha)$ is an integral subscheme of $\text{Proj}(D/K)$, where $f_\alpha := \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n + \alpha_{n+1} X + \alpha_{n+2} Y$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\alpha_{n+2} \neq 0$, using the intersection of non-empty Zariski-open sets in k^{n+2} . Note that K is generated by quadrics, so that the variety $\mathbb{V}_+(K)$ is nondegenerate (i.e., is not contained in a hyperplane). Set

$$Y' = -(\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n + \alpha_{n+1} X)/\alpha_{n+2}, \quad D' = A[X].$$

By our elementary observation therefore, $K' := (u + xX, v + xY', w - yX - zY')D'$ is a homogeneous prime ideal which is generated by quadrics, and $\text{pr-dim}(D'/K') = 2$.

Fix such an α in k^{n+2} . Proceeding as before then, there exists a non-empty Zariski-open set in k^{n+1} , depending on α , such that for all $\beta := (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n+1})$ in this set, we have $\beta_{n+1} \neq 0$, and on setting

$$X' = -(\beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_n x_n)/\beta_{n+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad Y'' = -(\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n + \alpha_{n+1} X')/\alpha_{n+2},$$

$K'' := (u + xX', v + xY'', w - yX' - zY'')A$ is a prime ideal with $\text{pr-dim}(A/K'') = 1$, so $\text{grade}(K'') = \text{height}(K'') = 2$. Now the presentation

$$K = I_2 \begin{pmatrix} x & z & -y \\ y & x + X & -z + Y \end{pmatrix}$$

carries over to give the equality

$$K'' = I_2 \begin{pmatrix} x & z & -y \\ y & x + X' & -z + Y'' \end{pmatrix},$$

and hence the resolution (4) carries over to give the minimal presentation

$$0 \rightarrow A^2(-2) \xrightarrow{\psi'_2} A^3(-1) \xrightarrow{\psi'_1} A \rightarrow A/K'' \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\psi'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} w - yX' - zY'' & v + xY'' & -(u + xX') \end{pmatrix}, (\psi'_2)^\top = \begin{pmatrix} x & z & -y \\ y & x + X' & -z + Y'' \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, $\mu(K'') = 3$, so K'' is the prime ideal we seek, and this yields the desired contradiction. $\square$

Remark 3.7. Note that this result is optimal. Consider the ring A where A is the polynomial ring $k[X, Y, Z]$, with k algebraically closed, under the natural grading. We see from the projective Nullstellensatz and the fact that A is a UFD that A is a gr-Shimoda ring, and $\text{pr-dim}(A) = 2$.

4. MORE TECHNICAL RESULTS IN THE STANDARD GRADED CASE

We can adapt arguments used in M. Miller's paper [Mil] to prove the following results. We provide only some additional details, as necessary.

Theorem 4.1. (cf. [Mil, Corollary 2.7 (and Theorem 2.1)]). *Let S be a standard graded algebra over a field k of characteristic 0, such that S is a domain, $\text{k-dim}(S) \geq 4$ and S satisfies the Serre conditions (R_2) and (S_3) . Suppose further that homogeneous prime ideals of S of height 1 are principal. Then S possesses height 2 homogeneous prime ideals requiring an arbitrarily large number of generators.*

Sketch of Proof. Let M be the graded second syzygy in a minimal graded free resolution of a finitely generated graded S -module of finite projective dimension at least 3, so that M itself is not free. Note that by the Evans-Griffith Syzygy Theorem (cf. [BH, Corollary 9.5.6 and subsequent remark]) $\text{rank}(M) \geq 2$. Let $d = \text{rank}(M) - 1$.

Suppose that we have the graded presentation $S^c \xrightarrow{\alpha} S^b \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ (ignoring twists). Set $A = S[Y_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq b, 1 \leq j \leq d]$, giving each indeterminate $Y_{i,j}$ over S weight 1. Define $\phi : A^d \rightarrow A^b$ by the matrix $(Y_{i,j})$. Let $J = \text{coker}(\alpha \oplus \phi)$. Hence we have the presentation

$$A^c \oplus A^d \xrightarrow{\alpha \oplus \phi} A^b \rightarrow J \rightarrow 0.$$

Clearly $\text{rank}(J) = 1$. Note that $N := M \otimes A \simeq A^b/\alpha(A^c)$ as graded modules, so there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A^d \xrightarrow{\psi} N \rightarrow J \rightarrow 0,$$

with the graded homomorphism ψ induced by ϕ .

A straightforward adaptation of Miller's argument ([op. cit., p. 31]) then shows that the graded module J is a torsion-free A -module, and so, as is easily seen, a homogeneous ideal (up to graded isomorphism).

Next we show that we may suppose that the homogeneous ideal J has height 2. Suppose that J has height 1. By an obvious 'homogeneous' analogue of the proof of [Kap, Theorem 5(b)], given our hypotheses, a non-zero homogeneous element of A that is not a unit is a unique product of homogeneous elements each of which generates a homogeneous prime ideal (we note that, since A is a domain, a product of non-zero elements of A is homogeneous if and only if each element is homogeneous). Hence, taking a finite set of homogeneous generators of J , we can find a smallest homogeneous principal ideal aA , with a a homogeneous element, that contains J . Clearly $a^{-1}J$ is a homogeneous ideal. We claim that $a^{-1}J$ is of height at least 2. For otherwise, $a^{-1}J$ is contained in a homogeneous prime P of height 1, and by hypothesis, $P = bA$ for some homogeneous element $b \in A$; it would then follow that $J \subseteq abA \subseteq aA$, so $abA = aA$, i.e., $bA = A$, a contradiction. Hence we may suppose that J has height at least 2. Another straightforward adaptation of Miller's argument ([op. cit., pp. 31-32]) shows that J has precisely height 2 and that J is in fact prime.

Finally, on replacing A by its localization at its irrelevant maximal ideal $\mathcal{M}$, we can directly apply the argument in [Mil] to prove his Corollary 2.7 with reference to the ideal $\bar{J} := J_{\mathcal{M}} + (Z_1, \dots, Z_{bd})A_{\mathcal{M}}$ considered in $S_{\mathcal{N}}$, where $\mathcal{N}$ denotes the irrelevant maximal ideal in S (cf. [op. cit., p. 33 and top of p. 34]): note that if $x_1, \dots, x_n$ are the degree 1 generators of $\mathcal{N}$, then the Z_l are general k -linear combinations of $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and the $Y_{i,j}$, and so are homogeneous forms of degree 1. We next observe that a standard graded algebra D with irrelevant maximal ideal $\mathcal{P}$ is a domain if $D_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a domain. It follows that the homogeneous ideal $\tilde{J} := J + (Z_1, \dots, Z_{bd})A$, considered in S , is a prime ideal. Since $\tilde{J}$ requires at least as many generators as $\bar{J}$, the result follows. $\square$

The arguments in the proof of Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 3.6 can easily be adapted for use in the following more general situation. We adopt the notation of Section 3.

Theorem 4.2. *Let A be a standard graded algebra over a field of characteristic 0, with A a domain. Suppose that $\dim(A) \geq 4$ and that A satisfies the Serre condition (S_3) . Then A possesses a homogeneous prime ideal P of height 2 with $\mu(P) = 3$.*

Sketch of Proof. In the light of [BH, Propositions 1.5.15(e), 1.5.11 and 1.5.12], a straightforward adaptation of the original argument means that we need only comment on how to adapt the details of Step 2, viz. the application of the double use of a Bertini Theorem, in order to finish the proof.

Replace D by its localization at its irrelevant ideal, $\mathcal{M}'$ say, and A by its localization at its irrelevant ideal $\mathcal{M}$.

As before, D/K has projective dimension 2. Since A satisfies the condition (S_3) and X, Y are indeterminates over A , $\text{depth}(D) \geq 5$. By the Auslander-Buchsbaum Formula therefore, $\text{depth}(D/K) \geq 3$. We can now mimic the argument in Part 2 of the proof of [Mil, Theorem 2.1, p. 33ff.], here cutting with 2 generic hyperplanes Z_1 and Z_2 , in the notation on p. 33 of [Mil].

By H. Flenner's Bertini Theorem (cf. [Mil, p. 32]), $D/(K + Z_1 D)$ is analytically irreducible. Note that $\text{depth}(D/(K + Z_1 D)) \geq 2$. Hence another application of Bertini's Theorem is allowed and we have that $D/(K + (Z_1, Z_2)D)$ is again analytically irreducible.

From the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow D \xrightarrow{Z_1} D \rightarrow D/Z_1 D \rightarrow 0$, we quickly establish that $\text{Tor}_i^D(D/K, D/Z_1 D) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Hence the minimal free resolution of D/K over D afforded by the Hilbert-Burch Theorem descends via tensoring with $D/Z_1 D$ to give the minimal free resolution over $D/Z_1 D$ of $D/(K + Z_1 D)$, this being in Hilbert-Burch form. Repeating the argument on further factoring out $Z_2 \cdot D/Z_1 D$ shows that

$$\mu_{D/(Z_1, Z_2)D}((K + (Z_1, Z_2)D)/(Z_1, Z_2)D) = 3,$$

and the full result now follows. $\square$

Remark 4.3. Suppose we have a standard graded domain S over a field k such that every homogeneous prime ideal of height 1, respectively 2, is generated by 1, respectively 2, elements. It easily follows from the first part of the proof of [BH, Theorem 1.5.9] that S satisfies the condition (R_2) . Hence if we further suppose that $\text{pr-dim}(S) \geq 3$ and that k has characteristic 0, then S satisfies the hypotheses of the Theorem 4.1. It follows that Theorem 3.6 is a consequence of Theorem 4.1.

On the other hand, it is clear that Theorem 3.6 is also a consequence of Theorem 4.2.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank J.-M. Giral for drawing the paper [Mil] to our attention and to thank Abdó Roig for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [BH] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, Cohen-Macaulay rings, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics 39, Cambridge University Press 1993.
- [Dav] E.D. Davis, Ideals of the principal class, R -sequences and a certain monoidal transformation. Pacific J. Math. **20** (1967), 197-205.
- [Die] J. Dieudonné, Topics in local algebra. Notre Dame Mathematical Lectures, No. 10 University of Notre Dame Press, Notre Dame, Ind. 1967.
- [Eis] D. Eisenbud, Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 150. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [Fle] H. Flenner, Die Sätze von Bertini für lokale Ringe. Math. Ann. **229** (1977), no. 2, 97-111.
- [HIO] M. Herrmann, S. Ikeda, U. Orbanz, Equimultiplicity and Blowing up. An Algebraic Study. With an Appendix by B. Moonen. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

- [Kap] I. Kaplansky, Commutative rings. Revised edition. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Ill.-London, 1974.
- [KS] K. Kiyek, J. Stückrad, Integral closure of monomial ideals on regular sequences, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **19** (2003), 483-508.
- [Mat1] H. Matsumura, Commutative algebra. Second edition. Mathematics Lecture Note Series, 56. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1980.
- [Mat2] H. Matsumura, Commutative ring theory. Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 8. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [Mil] M. Miller, Bourbaki's theorem and prime ideals. *J. Algebra* **64** (1980), 29-36.
- [Nor] D.G. Northcott, A homological investigation of a certain residual ideal, *Math. Ann.* **150** (1963), 99-110.
- [OP] L. O'Carroll, F. Planas-Vilanova, Ideals of Herzog-Northcott type. *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* (2) **54** (2011), no. 1, 161-186.
- [Sal] J.D. Sally, Numbers of generators of ideals in local rings, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics* **35**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1978.
- [Shi] Y. Shimoda, On power stable ideals and symbolic power ideals, *The Proceedings of the 3rd Japan Vietnam Joint Seminar on Commutative Algebra*, 90-95, Hanoi (Vietnam), December 2-7, 2007.
- [Sta] R. P. Stanley, Hilbert functions of graded algebras. *Advances in Math.* **28** (1978), no. 1, 57-83.
- [SUV] A. Simis, B. Ulrich, W.V. Vasconcelos, Jacobian dual fibrations. *Amer. J. Math.* **115** (1993), no. 1, 47-75.
- [SH] I. Swanson, C. Huneke, Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules. *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, 336. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [VV] P. Valabrega, G. Valla, Form rings and regular sequences. *Nagoya Math. J.* **72** (1978), 93-101.
- [Vas] W.V. Vasconcelos, Computational methods in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. *Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics*, 2. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

Shiro Goto
 Department of Mathematics
 School of Science and Technology
 Meiji University
 Tama, Kawasaki, KANAG 214, JAPAN
 goto@math.meiji.ac.jp

Liam O'Carroll
 Maxwell Institute for Mathematical Sciences
 School of Mathematics
 University of Edinburgh
 EH9 3JZ, Edinburgh, Scotland
 L.O'Carroll@ed.ac.uk

Francesc Planas-Vilanova
 Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada 1
 Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya
 Diagonal 647, ETSEIB
 08028 Barcelona, Catalunya
 francesc.planas@upc.edu